Case Report

Cervical disc arthroplasty, challenges and indications: case report

Manuel Rodríguez-García1*, Liliana Silva-Peña1, Carlos Aparicio-García1 and Kai-Uwe Lewandrowski2

1The American British Cowdray Medical Center, Santa Fe I.A.P Neurology, Orthopedics and Rehabilitation Center, México
2Center For Advanced Spine Care of Southern Arizona and Surgical Institute of Tucson, Tucson AZ, USA

Introduction

Degenerative changes in the intervertebral discs can cause a significant impact on the biomechanics of the spine this can result in compression of the nerve roots or the spinal cord [1].

In previous years, Anterior Cervical Discectomy and Fusion (ACDF) became the gold standard for the treatment of symptomatic cervical disease [2]. This procedure results in loss of mobility in the intervened segment and this conditioning an increase in tension in the adjacent segments, thus contributing to the adjacent segment syndrome [3]. The disadvantages of this technique include limitation or loss of cervical mobility, increased intradiscal pressure and pseudoarthrosis.

Currently, cervical disc arthroplasty is considered an acceptable surgical treatment for cervical radiculopathy and myelopathy, developed to preserve the subaxial biomechanics of the cervical spine. In the United States, total cervical spinal arthroplasty is approved by the Federal Drug Administration (FDA) for use at 1 and 2 levels for cervical disc disease resulting in myelopathy and/or radiculopathy between C3 - C7 [4].

The indications for a cervical disc arthroplasty are young, active adult patients with disc disease that causes symptoms at one and up to two levels from C3 to C7 without alteration in the facet joints or instability. Absolute contraindications are a significant decrease in the intervertebral space with loss of mobility at that level, osteoarthritis of the zygapophyseal joint, significant deformity in the sagittal and coronal planes, segmental instability, local or systemic infection [5,6].

Case report

A 38-year-old male. Pathological personal history: pyloroplasty at birth, lumbar instrumentation L4 - L5 and L5-S1 in 2008 (14 years ago), right Achilles tendon plasty in 2018. Allergies were denied.

His clinical condition began with cervical and interscapular pain radiating to the lateral region of both arms but was more intense in the right arm and forearm with altered sensitivity of the second and third fingers of the right hand that increased with prolonged positions, which partially improved with neuromodulatory treatment.

On physical examination, the pain increased on extension, flexion, and lateral flexion of the neck, kyphotic posture, Spurling absent and pain on palpation of bilateral paravertebral muscles, predominantly lower cervical. Right thumb extension weakness at +4/5. Muscle stretch reflexes Biceps bilateral with the normal response and hyporeflexia at the right triceps. Sensitivity with hypoalgesia external face of the right arm.

Magnetic Resonance showed decreased C6 - C7 intersomatic space, right foraminal disc protrusion C6 - C7, and degenerative disc disease C6 - C7 is observed. X-rays of the cervical spine are performed with anteroposterior, lateral and dynamic views to rule out the presence of instability (listhesis) which would contraindicate the use of cervical prosthetics. The patient radiographs showed decreased intersomatic space C6 - C7 without instability and rectification of cervical lordosis due to muscular contracture (Image 1).

Diagnosis of C6 - C7 foraminal disc herniation is integrated. It is scheduled for surgical treatment which is performed on May 06, 2022, for Total Cervical Arthroplasty C6 - C7.
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Surgical technique

1. Under general intravenous anesthesia and neurophysiological monitoring, 2. The patient is placed in the supine position, 3. Asepsis and antisepsis of the cervical region and placement of sterile fields, 4. Radiological marking like Smith Robinson is performed (anterolateral), 5. Longitudinal incision of 3 cm and dissection by planes, 6. Tubular retractor is placed under fluoroscopic vision locating level C6 - C7 (Image 2), 7. Discectomy is performed under microscope magnification, 8. Plate curettage terminals, 9. The dural sac is exposed 10. Placement of ROTAIO 15 × 13 × 7 mm prosthesis under fluoroscopic control confirming its adequate placement, 11. Review of hemostasis, 12. Placement of drainage on the contrary opening, 13. Closure by planes (Image 3).

The patient reported clinical improvement after surgery, upper extremities with strength 5/5 and sensitivity 2/2. Simple postoperative tomography of the cervical spine is performed, observing the proper placement of the implant (Image 4). He is discharged home 2 days after surgery to continue with physical therapy and rehabilitation and follow-up by outpatient consultation. A cervical collar was indicated for two weeks long until good recovery of the surgical wound showed good recovery.

Discussion

It is established that surgical cervical decompression is an effective treatment option for cervical spondylitis that stops the progression of symptoms while promoting significant functional recovery [7,8]. Surgical decompression can be performed through an anterior or a posterior surgical approach. Subsequent surgery usually involves a laminoplasty or laminectomy with fusion [9].

The decision of the approach depends on the cause of the neurological compression (anterior or posterior, or both), the number of segments involved, and the experience of the surgeon’s approach [10,11]. In anterior decompression, the existence of herniated discs or hypertrophy of the uncovertebral joint is clearly observed and, therefore, it is possible to perform a direct root decompression. Laminoplasty will not improve root decompression due to foraminal...
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stenosis, however, a laminotomy with cervical foraminotomy is capable of relieving symptoms of the spinal cord and root compression [12].

In 2017, Laratta, et al. conducted a systematic review of comprehensive review of single-level cervical disc arthroplasty, multilevel and hybrid procedures, in which they report that, over the last decade, multiple high-quality studies have reported that single-level cervical disc arthroplasty offers equivalent clinical results with a reduction of secondary procedures when compared to patients undergoing ACDF [2], however, in recent studies the prevalence is increasing in 2-level cervical disc arthroplasty and hybrid surgery, the second seems to be as effective as its single-level counterparts, however, it requires more studies [13].

A study by Fay, et al. 2014, demonstrates the differences between anterior cervical arthroplasty and fusion in bilevel cervical degenerative disc disease, as a result, cervical arthroplasty preserved mobility in the operating segments and provided clinical results similar to those of ACDF at 39.6 months of follow-up [14].

Regarding the precautions and recommendations of the anterolateral approach for cervical disc arthroplasty, the following have been described:

The position of the neck in slight hyperextension without excessive traction, the combination of hyperextension and excessive traction of the head can condition compression of the tissues, the laryngeal nerves may be at risk of injury as a result of such positioning [15]. Rigid support of the neck is essential to prevent complications when force is applied to the vertebral body. Also, excessive shoulder pull can cause brachial plexus injury.

The anterolateral cervical approach is generally safe, however, the complications that have been reported and how to avoid them must be taken into account, these include vascular, neurological, soft tissue, and visceral complications [16].

Conclusion

It is important to widely know the indications and contraindications to perform this procedure and thus avoid complications. As for the anterolateral cervical approach, it is performed through a relatively safe anatomical plane, however, it is important to know the anatomy and customize the approach according to the characteristics of each patient (neck morphology, level of intervention, etc.), in this case, a longitudinal anterolateral approach was performed since the patient had a wide and short neck, typical seen in short stature and obese patients.

Cervical disc arthroplasty is currently a widely accepted surgical procedure for the treatment of radiculopathy and/ or cervical myelopathy. Its main advantage over anterior cervical disectomy and fusion is the preservation of segment mobility, resulting in reduced stress. In the adjacent segments and thus avoiding adjacent segment disease.
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